

Handling Infinite Branching WSTS

Michael Blondin^{1 2}, Alain Finkel¹ & Pierre McKenzie^{1 2}

¹LSV, ENS Cachan

²DIRO, Université de Montréal

March 31, 2014

- Well-structured transition systems (WSTS) are known to encompass a large number of infinite state systems.

- Well-structured transition systems (WSTS) are known to encompass a large number of infinite state systems.
- Moreover, multiple decidability results are known on WSTS.

- Well-structured transition systems (WSTS) are known to encompass a large number of infinite state systems.
- Moreover, multiple decidability results are known on WSTS.
- However, most results and techniques known suppose finite branching.

- Well-structured transition systems (WSTS) are known to encompass a large number of infinite state systems.
- Moreover, multiple decidability results are known on WSTS.
- However, most results and techniques known suppose finite branching.
- We propose a tool, the *WSTS completion*, based on work of Finkel and Goubault-Larrecq, to handle infinitely branching WSTS.

Ordered transition system

$S = (X, \rightarrow, \leq)$ where

- X set,
- $\rightarrow \subseteq X \times X$,
- \leq quasi-ordering X .

Ordered transition system

$S = (X, \rightarrow, \leq)$ where

- X set: **recursively enumerable**,
- $\rightarrow \subseteq X \times X$: **decidable**,
- \leq quasi-ordering X : **decidable**.

Well-ordered transition system (WSTS)

A *WSTS* is an ordered transition system (X, \rightarrow, \leq) with

- well-quasi-ordering: $\forall x_0, x_1, \dots \exists i < j$ s.t. $x_i \leq x_j$,
- monotony:

$$\forall x \begin{array}{c} \rightarrow y \\ \wedge \\ x' \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \rightarrow y \\ \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \wedge \\ \xrightarrow{*} y' \end{array}} \end{array} \exists$$

Well-ordered transition system (WSTS)

A *WSTS* is an ordered transition system (X, \rightarrow, \leq) with

- well-quasi-ordering: $\forall x_0, x_1, \dots \exists i < j$ s.t. $x_i \leq x_j$,
- **transitive** monotony:

$$\forall x \quad \begin{array}{c} \rightarrow y \\ \wedge \\ x' \end{array} \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \rightarrow y \\ \wedge \\ \xrightarrow{+} y' \end{array}} \quad \exists$$

Branching

A WSTS (X, \rightarrow, \leq) is finitely branching if $\text{Post}(x)$ is finite for every $x \in X$.

Branching

A WSTS (X, \rightarrow, \leq) is finitely branching if $\text{Post}(x)$ is finite for every $x \in X$.

Some infinitely branching WSTS

- Inserting FIFO automata (Cécé, Finkel, Iyer 1996),

Branching

A WSTS (X, \rightarrow, \leq) is finitely branching if $\text{Post}(x)$ is finite for every $x \in X$.

Some infinitely branching WSTS

- Inserting FIFO automata (Cécé, Finkel, Iyer 1996),
- Inserting automata (Bouyer, Markey, Ouaknine, Schnoebelen, Worrell 2012),

Branching

A WSTS (X, \rightarrow, \leq) is finitely branching if $\text{Post}(x)$ is finite for every $x \in X$.

Some infinitely branching WSTS

- Inserting FIFO automata (Cécé, Finkel, Iyer 1996),
- Inserting automata (Bouyer, Markey, Ouaknine, Schnoebelen, Worrell 2012),
- ω -Petri nets (Geeraerts, Heussner, Praveen & Raskin 2013),

Branching

A WSTS (X, \rightarrow, \leq) is finitely branching if $\text{Post}(x)$ is finite for every $x \in X$.

Some infinitely branching WSTS

- Inserting FIFO automata (Cécé, Finkel, Iyer 1996),
- Inserting automata (Bouyer, Markey, Ouaknine, Schnoebelen, Worrell 2012),
- ω -Petri nets (Geeraerts, Heussner, Praveen & Raskin 2013),
- Essentially finite WSTS (Abdulla, Cerans, Jonsson & Tsay 2000),

Branching

A WSTS (X, \rightarrow, \leq) is finitely branching if $\text{Post}(x)$ is finite for every $x \in X$.

Some infinitely branching WSTS

- Inserting FIFO automata (Cécé, Finkel, Iyer 1996),
- Inserting automata (Bouyer, Markey, Ouaknine, Schnoebelen, Worrell 2012),
- ω -Petri nets (Geeraerts, Heussner, Praveen & Raskin 2013),
- Essentially finite WSTS (Abdulla, Cerans, Jonsson & Tsay 2000),
- Do you know other ones?

Problematic

Some decidability results for WSTS based on finite reachability trees; impossible for infinite branching.

Some rely on upward closed sets; what about downward closed, in particular with infinite branching?

Problematic

Some decidability results for WSTS based on finite reachability trees; impossible for infinite branching.

Some rely on upward closed sets; what about downward closed, in particular with infinite branching?

A tool

Develop from the WSTS *completion* introduced by Finkel & Goubault-Larrecq 2009.

Ideals

$I \subseteq X$ is an *ideal* if it is

- downward closed: $I = \downarrow I$,
- directed: $a, b \in I \implies \exists c \in I$ s.t. $a \leq c$ and $b \leq c$.

Theorem (Finkel & Goubault-Larrecq 2009; GL 2014)

$$D \text{ downward closed} \implies D = \bigcup_{\text{finite}} \text{Ideals}$$

Theorem (Finkel & Goubault-Larrecq 2009; GL 2014)

$$D \text{ downward closed} \implies D = \bigcup_{\text{finite}} \text{Ideals}$$

Theorem (Finkel & Goubault-Larrecq 2009; GL 2014; BFM 2014)

Every downward closed subset decomposes canonically as the union of its maximal ideals.

Completion (Finkel & Goubault-Larrecq 2009; BFM 2014)

The *completion* of $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ is $\widehat{S} = (\widehat{X}, \rightarrow_{\widehat{S}}, \subseteq)$ such that

Completion (Finkel & Goubault-Larrecq 2009; BFM 2014)

The *completion* of $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ is $\widehat{S} = (\widehat{X}, \rightarrow_{\widehat{S}}, \subseteq)$ such that

- $\widehat{X} = \text{Ideals}(X)$,

Completion (Finkel & Goubault-Larrecq 2009; BFM 2014)

The *completion* of $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ is $\widehat{S} = (\widehat{X}, \rightarrow_{\widehat{S}}, \subseteq)$ such that

- $\widehat{X} = \text{Ideals}(X)$,
- $I \rightarrow_{\widehat{S}} J$ if $\downarrow \text{Post}(I) = \underbrace{\dots \cup J \cup \dots}_{\text{canonical}}$

Theorem (Finkel & Goubault-Larrecq 2009; BFM 2014)

Let $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ be a WSTS, then

- \widehat{S} is finitely branching,

Theorem (Finkel & Goubault-Larrecq 2009; BFM 2014)

Let $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ be a WSTS, then

- \widehat{S} is finitely branching,
- \widehat{S} has (strong) monotony,

Theorem (Finkel & Goubault-Larrecq 2009; BFM 2014)

Let $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ be a WSTS, then

- \widehat{S} is finitely branching,
- \widehat{S} has (strong) monotony,
- \widehat{S} is *not always* a WSTS

Theorem (Finkel & Goubault-Larrecq 2009; BFM 2014)

Let $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ be a WSTS, then

- \widehat{S} is finitely branching,
- \widehat{S} has (strong) monotony,
- \widehat{S} is *not always* a WSTS

Relating executions of S and \widehat{S}

Let $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ be a WSTS, then

- if $x \xrightarrow{k}_S y$,

Relating executions of S and \widehat{S}

Let $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ be a WSTS, then

- if $x \xrightarrow{k}_S y$, then for every ideal $I \supseteq \downarrow x$

Relating executions of S and \widehat{S}

Let $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ be a WSTS, then

- if $x \xrightarrow{k}_S y$, then for every ideal $I \supseteq \downarrow x$ there exists an ideal $J \supseteq \downarrow y$

Relating executions of S and \widehat{S}

Let $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ be a WSTS, then

- if $x \xrightarrow{k}_S y$, then for every ideal $I \supseteq \downarrow x$ there exists an ideal $J \supseteq \downarrow y$ such that $I \xrightarrow{k}_{\widehat{S}} J$,

Relating executions of S and \widehat{S}

Let $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ be a WSTS, then

- if $x \xrightarrow{k}_S y$, then for every ideal $I \supseteq \downarrow x$ there exists an ideal $J \supseteq \downarrow y$ such that $I \xrightarrow{k}_{\widehat{S}} J$,
- if $I \xrightarrow{k}_{\widehat{S}} J$,

Relating executions of S and \widehat{S}

Let $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ be a WSTS, then

- if $x \xrightarrow{k}_S y$, then for every ideal $I \supseteq \downarrow x$ there exists an ideal $J \supseteq \downarrow y$ such that $I \xrightarrow{k}_{\widehat{S}} J$,
- if $I \xrightarrow{k}_{\widehat{S}} J$, then for every $y \in J$

Relating executions of S and \widehat{S}

Let $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ be a WSTS, then

- if $x \xrightarrow{k}_S y$, then for every ideal $I \supseteq \downarrow x$ there exists an ideal $J \supseteq \downarrow y$ such that $I \xrightarrow{k}_{\widehat{S}} J$,
- if $I \xrightarrow{k}_{\widehat{S}} J$, then for every $y \in J$ there exists $x \in I$

Relating executions of S and \widehat{S}

Let $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ be a WSTS, then

- if $x \xrightarrow{k}_S y$, then for every ideal $I \supseteq \downarrow x$ there exists an ideal $J \supseteq \downarrow y$ such that $I \xrightarrow{k}_{\widehat{S}} J$,
- if $I \xrightarrow{k}_{\widehat{S}} J$, then for every $y \in J$ there exists $x \in I$ such that $x \xrightarrow{*}_S y' \geq y$.

Relating executions of S and \widehat{S}

Let $S = (X, \rightarrow_S, \leq)$ be a WSTS with transitive monotony, then

- if $x \xrightarrow{k}_S y$, then for every ideal $I \supseteq \downarrow x$ there exists an ideal $J \supseteq \downarrow y$ such that $I \xrightarrow{k}_{\widehat{S}} J$,
- if $I \xrightarrow{k}_{\widehat{S}} J$, then for every $y \in J$ there exists $x \in I$ such that $x \xrightarrow{\geq k}_S y' \geq y$.

Termination

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0 \in X$.

Question: $\nexists x_0 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow \dots?$

Termination

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0 \in X$.

Question: $\nexists x_0 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow \dots?$

Proposition (Dufourd, Jančar & Schnoebelen 1999)

Termination is undecidable for infinitely branching WSTS.

Strong termination

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0 \in X$.

Question: $\exists k$ bounding length of executions from x_0 ?

Strong termination

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0 \in X$.

Question: $\exists k$ bounding length of executions from x_0 ?

Remark

Strong termination and termination are the same in finitely branching WSTS.

Theorem (Blondin, Finkel & McKenzie 2014)

Strong termination is decidable for WSTS with transitive monotony and such that \hat{S} is a post-effective WSTS.

Theorem (Blondin, Finkel & McKenzie 2014)

Strong termination is decidable for WSTS with transitive monotony and such that \hat{S} is a post-effective WSTS.

Theorem (Blondin, Finkel & McKenzie 2014)

Strong termination is decidable for WSTS with transitive monotony and such that \widehat{S} is a post-effective WSTS.

Proof

Executions bounded in S iff bounded in \widehat{S} .

Theorem (Blondin, Finkel & McKenzie 2014)

Strong termination is decidable for WSTS with transitive monotony and such that \widehat{S} is a post-effective WSTS.

Proof

Executions bounded in S iff bounded in \widehat{S} . Since \widehat{S} finitely branching, we can decide termination in \widehat{S} by Finkel & Schnoebelen 2001.

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x_0 \xrightarrow{*} x' \geq x$?

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x_0 \in \uparrow \text{Pre}^*(\uparrow x)$?

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x_0 \in \uparrow \text{Pre}^*(\uparrow x)$?

Backward method (Abdulla, Cerans, Jonsson & Tsay 2000)

Compute Y_0, \dots, Y_n converging to $\uparrow \text{Pre}^*(\uparrow x)$ and verify if $x_0 \in Y_n$.

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x_0 \in \uparrow \text{Pre}^*(\uparrow x)$?

Backward method (Abdulla, Cerans, Jonsson & Tsay 2000)

Compute Y_0, \dots, Y_n converging to $\uparrow \text{Pre}^*(\uparrow x)$ and verify if $x_0 \in Y_n$.

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x \in \downarrow \text{Post}^*(x_0)$?

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x \in \downarrow \text{Post}^*(x_0)$?

Forward method

Coverability:

- Enumerate executions $\downarrow x_0 \xrightarrow{*} \widehat{S} \ I$,
- Accept if $x \in I$.

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x \in \downarrow \text{Post}^*(x_0)$?

Forward method

Coverability:

- Enumerate executions $\downarrow x_0 \xrightarrow{*} \widehat{S} \ I$,
- Accept if $x \in I$.

Non coverability:

- Enumerate $D \subseteq X$ downward closed, $x_0 \in D$ and $\downarrow \text{Post}_S(D) \subseteq D$,
- Reject if $x \notin D$.

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x \in \downarrow \text{Post}^*(x_0)$?

Forward method

Coverability:

- Enumerate executions $\downarrow x_0 \xrightarrow{*} \widehat{S} I$,
- Accept if $x \in I$.

Non coverability:

- Enumerate $D \subseteq X$ downward closed, $x_0 \in D$ and $\downarrow \text{Post}_S(D) \subseteq D$,
- Reject if $x \notin D$. **Witness:** $D = \downarrow \text{Post}_S^*(x_0)$

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x \in \downarrow \text{Post}^*(x_0)$?

Forward method

Coverability:

- Enumerate executions $\downarrow x_0 \xrightarrow{*} \widehat{S} I$,
- Accept if $x \in I$.

Non coverability:

- Enumerate $D = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_k$
- Reject if $x \notin D$.

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x \in \downarrow \text{Post}^*(x_0)$?

Forward method

Coverability:

- Enumerate executions $\downarrow x_0 \xrightarrow{*} \widehat{S} \ I$,
- Accept if $x \in I$.

Non coverability:

- Enumerate $D \subseteq X$ downward closed
- Reject if $x \notin D$.

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x \in \downarrow \text{Post}^*(x_0)$?

Forward method

Coverability:

- Enumerate executions $\downarrow x_0 \xrightarrow{*} \widehat{S} I$,
- Accept if $x \in I$.

Non coverability:

- Enumerate $D \subseteq X$ downward closed, $x_0 \in D$
- Reject if $x \notin D$.

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x \in \downarrow \text{Post}^*(x_0)$?

Forward method

Coverability:

- Enumerate executions $\downarrow x_0 \xrightarrow{*} \widehat{S} I$,
- Accept if $x \in I$.

Non coverability:

- Enumerate $D \subseteq X$ downward closed, $\downarrow x_0 \subseteq I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_k$
- Reject if $x \notin D$.

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x \in \downarrow \text{Post}^*(x_0)$?

Forward method

Coverability:

- Enumerate executions $\downarrow x_0 \xrightarrow{*} \widehat{S} \ I$,
- Accept if $x \in I$.

Non coverability:

- Enumerate $D \subseteq X$ downward closed, $\exists j$ s.t. $\downarrow x_0 \subseteq I_j$
- Reject if $x \notin D$.

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x \in \downarrow \text{Post}^*(x_0)$?

Forward method

Coverability:

- Enumerate executions $\downarrow x_0 \xrightarrow{*} \widehat{S} I$,
- Accept if $x \in I$.

Non coverability:

- Enumerate $D \subseteq X$ downward closed, $x_0 \in D$
- Reject if $x \notin D$.

Coverability

Input: (X, \rightarrow, \leq) a WSTS, $x_0, x \in X$.

Question: $x \in \downarrow \text{Post}^*(x_0)$?

Forward method

Coverability:

- Enumerate executions $\downarrow x_0 \xrightarrow{*} \widehat{S} I$,
- Accept if $x \in I$.

Non coverability:

- Enumerate $D \subseteq X$ downward closed, $x_0 \in D$ and $\downarrow \text{Post}_S(D) \subseteq D$,
- Reject if $x \notin D$.

Open questions

- **What other applications has the completion?**

Open questions

- **What other applications has the completion?**
- Boundness and strong control-state maintainability also decidable for infinitely branching WSTS. Other problems decidable?

Open questions

- **What other applications has the completion?**
- Boundness and strong control-state maintainability also decidable for infinitely branching WSTS. Other problems decidable?
- Algorithms working on the completion more efficient for what WSTS/problems?

Thank you!